

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :  
: NO. 3:18-CR-0097  
v. :  
: (MARIANI, J.)  
ROSS ROGGIO, and :  
ROGGIO CONSULTING, LLC, :  
Defendants. :

**GOVERNMENT'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO  
PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT  
ABOUT EXPORT CONTROL REFORM**

The Government, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully moves *in limine* for the Court to issue an Order to preclude the defendant from introducing evidence or making arguments about changes to the export control laws and regulations that occurred after the alleged crimes for which he is charged in the Superseding Indictment.

1. The defendant is charged with illegally exporting three types of firearm parts: (1) M4 Bolt Gas Rings MIL; (2) M4 Firing Pin Retainers; and (3) rifling combo buttons.

2. As the Government will prove at trial, at the time Roggio exported these items in or about March 2016, the M4 Bolt Gas Rings MIL and M4 Firing Pin Retainers were controlled for export by the U.S.

Department of State on the United States Munitions List (“USML”) (specifically, under USML Category I(h)), while the rifling combo buttons were controlled by the U.S. Department of Commerce on the Commerce Control List (“CCL”) (specifically, under Export Control Classification Number (“ECCN”) 2B018.e).

3. In or about March 2020 – in other words, four years after Roggio’s illegal exports and two years after he was indicted for those crimes – final rules issued by the Departments of Commerce and State went into effect, revising the control of certain types of firearms, guns, ammunition, and related articles. *See* 85 Fed. Reg. 3819 (Jan. 23, 2020); 85 Fed. Reg. 4136 (Jan. 23, 2020).

4. Such changes to law and regulation are irrelevant to the question of Roggio’s guilt, because a license was required at the time that he shipped the firearm parts, and also would be required today, so it would be a crime to export the parts at any point in time. Similarly, any attempt by Roggio to argue that the shift in control and licensing regimes (again, years after his crimes) indicates that this area of regulatory compliance is overly complicated and somehow supports a

lack of willfulness on his part also is irrelevant and should likewise be prohibited.

Dated: April 10, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

GERARD M. KARAM  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Todd K. Hinkley  
Todd K. Hinkley  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Atty ID No. PA 68881  
235 N. Washington Ave.  
Scranton, PA 18503

KENNETH A. POLITE, JR.  
Assistant Attorney General

/s/Patrick Jasperse  
Patrick Jasperse  
Trial Attorney  
Criminal Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Human Rights and Special  
Prosecutions Section

MATTHEW G. OLSEN.  
Assistant Attorney General

/s/Scott A. Claffee  
Scott A. Claffee  
Trial Attorney  
National Security Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Counterintelligence and Export  
Control Section

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :  
: No. 3:18-CR-0097  
v. :  
: (Judge Mariani)  
ROSS ROGGIO, and :  
ROGGIO CONSULTING Co, LLC, :  
Defendants. : (electronically filed)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that he is an employee in the Office of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Pennsylvania and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers.

That on April 10, 2023, he served a copy of the attached **GOVERNMENT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT ABOUT EXPORT CONTROL REFORM** by electronic means to:

Gino Bartolai  
bartolai@ptd.net

/s/ Todd K. Hinkley  
Todd K. Hinkley  
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :  
: No. 3:18-CR-0097  
v. :  
: (Judge Mariani)  
ROSS ROGGIO, and :  
ROGGIO CONSULTING Co, LLC, :  
Defendants. : (electronically filed)

**CERTIFICATE OF NON-CONCURRENCE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that he attempted to speak to Gino A. Bartolai, Esquire, counsel for Ross Roggio concerning the defendant's concurrence/non-concurrence in the attached motion *in limine*. Attorney Bartolai indicated that the defense does not concur in the attached motion.

*/s/ Todd K. Hinkley*  
Todd K. Hinkley  
Assistant United States Attorney